

TODD BOLEY, Bar No. 68119, boley@boleylaw.com  
2381 Mariner Square Drive, Suite 280  
Alameda, California, 94501  
Telephone: (510) 836-4500; Fax: (510) 649-5170

Attorney for Defendants Contra Costa County;  
Contra Costa County District Attorneys Office;  
Robert Kochly; Paul Sequeira; Brian Baker;  
Gene Greenwald; Darryl Jackson and Paul Mulligan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL GRESSETT

Plaintiff,

v.

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, et. al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:12-CV-03798 EMC

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT ON  
COUNTY DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND  
PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

**(Local Rule 7-11)**

Trial Date: May 4, 2015

Plaintiff Michael Gressett and Defendants Robert Kochly; Paul Sequeira; Brian Baker;  
Gene Greenwald; Darryl Jackson and Paul Mulligan ("the County Defendants") respectfully  
request that this Court issue an order for an enlargement of the page limit for County Defendants'  
argument in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiff's argument in support  
of his Opposition to Summary Judgment.

County Defendants have made a good faith effort to streamline their argument. However,  
because of the many issues involved in this case and the size of the record, the argument in  
support Defendants' memorandum in support of their motion will exceed 25 pages.

The Court previously permitted County Defendants to file arguments in support of their  
motions to dismiss Plaintiff's Second and Third Amended Complaints of up to 35 pages.



**[~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER**

Having read the foregoing Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor,  
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the County Defendants be permitted to file arguments in support  
of the motion for summary judgment of up to 40 pages and that Plaintiff be given permission to  
file argument in opposition to the motion for summary judgment of up to 40 pages.

DATED: 12/12/14

